

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,346	11/25/2003	Min Jin Oh	0465-1088P 3904		
2292 7590 12/14/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		1	HECKERT, JASON MARK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1792		
					_
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/14/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/720,346	OH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jason Heckert	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/10/07. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-19</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate					

10/720,346 Art Unit: 1792

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/14/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Due to the amendments to claims 1-10, the previous rejections are rendered moot.
- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 9/14/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Claim 11 claims the same structure and function as the previously presented prior art of Lyu et al., wherein the controlling parts are fixed to the apparatus, and the panels themselves are interchangeable. Applicant has added the limitation that the control units contain control commands for either machine. This limitation differentiates claims 1-10 from the prior art, because the control units are not fixed to either machine. But this appears to be an error in claim 11, because the control units are fixed and exclusive to each machine and only interchangeable with the control panels, not the machines themselves.

10/720,346 Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 11-16, 18-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lyu et al. (Lyu).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Lyu discloses interchangeable control panels 20a and 20 for a washing machine A and a dryer B. Lyu refers the the panels as covers, but in essence are similar, if not identical, to the control panels disclosed by the applicant. Each appliance has its own controlling part consisting of displays, keys, etc. referred to as 22a and 22 and its own controller 12 and 12a thereby reading on claims 2-6, 8, 12-16, 18. The panels are interchangeable with each control part and unit. The panels are on the tops of the machines.

10/720,346 Art Unit: 1792

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claim 17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyu in view of Broker. Liquid display devices are common and cannot be considered novel. Broker et al. disclose the use of a liquid crystal display screen that is capable of receiving input parameters (col. 2 line 30-31). It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify Lyu, and include LCD displays, as they are a known means to receive input parameters.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 1-10 allowed. The prior art does not teach control units that are capable of operating both the dryer and washing machine. The prior art does teach interchangeable control panels, but the control units themselves are exclusive to each machine. The inclusion of control commands for both machines on each control unit is patentably distinct from the prior art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason Heckert whose telephone number is (571) 272-2702. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. to Friday, 8:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571)272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/720,346 Art Unit: 1792

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMH

ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER

The May